Probing sub-eV particles with polarized Helium 3
Proceeding of the "Journées de Rencontre Jeunes Chercheurs" 2013

M. Guigue[1, *]

[1] *LPSC, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble INP*

(Dated: October 2, 2014)

Measuring the depolarization rate of a ^{3}He hyperpolarized gas is a sensitive method to probe hypothetical short-range spin-dependent forces. A dedicated experiment is being set up at the Institute Laue Langevin in Grenoble to improve the sensitivity. The status of the experiment is detailed in this paper.

arXiv:1410.0122v1 [physics.ins-det] 1 Oct 2014

THEORETICAL MOTIVATIONS

Numerous theories beyond the Standard Model of particle physics predict the existence of new light scalar bosons [1] such as the Axions theory [2] developed to solve the strong CP problem. Any new scalar of mass m_ϕ could mediate a short-range monopole-dipole CP-violating interaction between two nucleons defined by the potential [2]:

$$V = g_s^N g_p^N \frac{\hbar\widehat{\sigma}.\widehat{r}}{8\pi Mc}\left(\frac{m_\phi}{r}+\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\exp(-m_\phi rc/\hbar) \qquad (1)$$

where $\hbar\sigma/2$ is the spin of the nucleon, M the mass of the polarized particle, g_s^N and g_p^N the coupling constant at the vertices of polarized and unpolarized particles corresponding to a scalar and a pseudoscalar interactions. As a consequence, the range of such interaction is

$$\lambda = \frac{\hbar}{m_\phi c}$$

which makes this force macroscopically observable for light bosons.

Finding a new boson or a new short-range interaction interaction would be a important discovery in fundamental physics, since it could solve problems such as the nature of the Dark Matter (as a WISP candidate). This new force is thus actively searched for around the world through different kind of experiments (using torsion-balance, studying the Newton's inverse square law or looking at bouncing ultracold neutrons).

HOW TO PROBE NEW SHORT-RANGE INTERACTIONS WITH POLARIZED HELIUM 3

Consider a cell filled with polarized ^{3}He immersed into a static magnetic field B_0. The natural depolarization of the gas is quantified by the longitudinal spin relaxation rate Γ_1, resulting from the main contributions:

$$\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_w + \Gamma_{dd} + \Gamma_m \qquad (2)$$

where Γ_w is the relaxation rate induced by collisions of polarized atoms on the cell walls, Γ_{dd} the relaxation rate due to interparticles collisions and Γ_m the depolarization rate due to the motion of polarized particles in an inhomogeneous magnetic field.

In our case, the axionlike interaction acts like a macroscopic pseudomagnetic field. The glass walls of the cell would act as a source of the pseudomagnetic field. The induced inhomogeneities can depolarize an initially polarized gas in addition to the natural depolarization phenomena inducing Γ_m. The existence of such a new interaction could be revealed as an anomalous depolarization channel with a non-standard dependence on the holding magnetic field B_0.

POLARIZING HELIUM 3 WITH TYREX

Helium 3 is a gas which can be hypolarized (up to 80% polarization) and whose polarization remains during more than 5 days under certain conditions. In physics, ^{3}He is commonly used as a spin-filter for neutrons [3], as a target for electron beam [4], as a precision magnetometer [5] and as a probe for new fundamental spin-dependent interactions [6–10]. In medicine and engineering, it is used for magnetic resonance imaging [11]. It also has been used as a atomic zero-field magnetometer [12].

To polarize atoms, essentially two methods are commonly used, both with optical pumping. Since the ^{3}He atoms have a nuclear polarization and so they can not be optically pumped directly in their ground state, one have to use an extra specie which can be. In the Spin-Exchange Optical Pumping (SEOP) method, Rubidium is pumped with a 795 nm laser and then collisionally polarizes the helium atoms.

Another method is the Metastability Exchange Optical Pumping (MEOP) where an electric discharge excites the ^{3}He atoms into a metastable state which can be optically pumped with a 1083 nm right circular polarized laser. The metastable polarized atoms then exchange their metastability with ground state helium atoms, leading to a polarized gas.

The ^{3}He MEOP station "Tyrex" is located at the Institut Laue Langevin and is one of the best polarized ^{3}He source in the world in terms of polarization and density of polarized atoms. Since the MEOP method operates at

few hundreds of millibar, a compressor is used to extract polarized atoms and to fill dedicated cells: pressures up to 4 bars at 70% polarization can be reached.

STATUS OF THE EXPERIMENT

"Previous experiment"

A first test experiment [6] measuring the spin longitudinal depolarization rate Γ_1 as a function of the applied field B_0 (shown on the Figure 1) was performed in 2010 to demonstrate the sensitivity of the method. A new dedicated experiment will be set up at the Institute Laure Langevin, improving both (i) the magnetic environment of the experiment and (ii) the measurement of the decay of polarization.



Figure 1. Relaxation rate depending on the holding magnetic field B_0 for the previous experiment presented in [6] with a cylindrical cell containing ^{3}He gas at $p = 0.3$ bar with a radius $R = 5$ cm and a length $L = 10$ cm.

In the first test experiment, (i) was the limiting factor. Concerning (ii), one can improve the sensitivity using polarimetry of the polarized gas at high pressure.

Magnetic environment

Under certain conditions of pressure, intensity of the holding magnetic field and dynamics of particles in the cell, the longitudinal relaxation rate Γ_m due to particles motion in the magnetic field inhomogeneities can be expressed as:

$$\Gamma_m = D \frac{\left\langle \left(\vec{\nabla} b_x\right)^2 + \left(\vec{\nabla} b_y\right)^2 \right\rangle}{B_0^2} \qquad (3)$$

where D is the diffusion coefficient of the ^{3}He gas and b_x and b_y are the components of the magnetic field inhomogeneities, transversal to the holding magnetic field B_0. In order to suppress the magnetic field inhomogeneity depolarization channel, one can decrease the diffusion coefficient by increasing the gas pressure. Having a holding magnetic field as homogeneous as possible will also help to decrease Γ_m.

The new apparatus (see Fig. 2) is composed of a 5 meter long and 80 cm diameter solenoid which provides a very homogeneous magnetic field. This solenoid is inserted into a μ-metal magnetic shield of 96 cm diameter in order to shield the center of the solenoid from external magnetic fields. This 4 m long tube was retrieved from "n-nbar" experiment which measured at the Institut Laue Langevin the neutron-antineutron oscillation into a 10 nT magnetic field.

Figure 2. Upper picture: The 5 m long copper-wired solenoid. Lower picture: the solenoid inserted into the 4 meter long μ-metal magnetic shield.

For different magnetic field B_0, a map of 20 × 20 × 20 cm^3 has been done using a three axis fluxgate magnetometer and giving typical maps, such as the one presented in Fig. 3. With a second-order polynomial fit, the value of the transversal gradients $g_\perp$ are obtained for different values of B_0. Typically, $g_\perp$ is about 1.5 nT/cm



Figure 3. Longitudinal magnetic field map for a holding magnetic field of $2\,\mu$T. The black circle represents the place of a typical cell which will be used.

to 2 nT/cm for magnetic field from $2\,\mu$T to $80\,\mu$T. An estimation of the expected magnetic relaxation rate Γ_m is then calculated: the magnetic relaxation time T_1 is expected to be longer than 90 h. At low field ($B_0 = 3\,\mu$T), this is a factor 100 better than the previous experiment.

This improvement will directly affect the duration of the experiment and so the precision of the relaxation rate measurement technique, which is described below. The magnetic environment characterization is still under progress.

Measurement of the longitudinal relaxation rate

There are various way to measure the longitudinal relaxation rate of a polarized ^{3}He gas. The most precise but the most complex one is by measuring the transmission of an unpolarized neutrons beam through the polarized gas.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is a more compact and widely used technique to measure polarization. One can measure the amplitude of the NMR response signal or can also measure the frequency shift, both proportional to the polarization. But those techniques are also less precise.

A compromise of compactness and precision can be achieved with a direct polarimetry technique [5, 12]: it consists in measuring the magnetic field generated by the gas itself at high pressure. This magnetic field can be of order of 100 nT which is easy to measure. Moreover, by placing wisely two fluxgate magnetometers (see Fig. 4) and applying spin-flips to invert polarization and measure offsets, the longitudinal relaxation rate can be easily and precisely measured. The expected relative precision is of the order of 10^{-4} which is 100 times better than with the NMR resonance technique.



Figure 4. Scheme of the polarimetry technique. A spherical cell filled with polarized helium 3 is immersed into a holding magnetic field B_0. Two fluxgate magnetometers measure the magnetic field generated by the cell polarized gas.

Relaxation theory for depolarizing short range interaction

In the case of a new spin-dependent interaction defined with Eq. (1), the nucleons of the cell walls generates a pseudo-magnetic:

$$b(\overrightarrow{r}) = \frac{\hbar\lambda}{2\gamma m_n} N g_s g_p \left(1 - e^{-d/\lambda}\right) e^{-r/\lambda} \qquad (4)$$

with r is the distance of the polarized particle to the closest point on the cell walls, g_s^N and g_p^N the coupling constants and λ the interaction range. m_n is the nucleon mass, N the nucleon density and d the thickness of the wall cell (supposed uniform). Our zone of interest corresponds to interaction ranges λ from 10^{-4} m to 10^{-6} m which are very small compared with the cell characteristic size ($L \approx 10$ cm). In the previous experiment [6], a general formula for longitudinal relaxation rate induced by such a short range interaction was derived for a 1D problem, valid for every range of interaction.

For our experimental conditions ($\phi_L = \gamma B_0 L^2/D \gg 1 \gg \phi_\lambda$), a new formula applicable for all shapes of cells was found and simplifies for a spherical cell into:

$$\Gamma_{1,NF} \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma B_0}} \frac{\lambda^2 \gamma^2 b_a^2}{L} \qquad (5)$$

which has a behavior with B_0 very different from Γ_m. This different behavior will permit us to extract a constraint on the new short-range depolarizing interaction.

EXPECTATIONS AND CONCLUSION

An estimation of the longitudinal relaxation rate Γ_1 was performed from Eq. (2) and is presented on Fig.

5. The behavior in $1/B_0^2$ of the relaxation rate due to the external magnetic field inhomogeneties is canceled by a factor 100, compared with the previous experiment. A typical longitudinal relaxation rate due to new short-interaction depolarization channel is also plotted. It corresponds to the last point excluded by the previous experiment [6]: it will be totally excluded by the new experiment.



Figure 5. Relaxation rate as a function of the magnitude of the holding magnetic field. Blue, measurement of the previous experiment; orange, expected measurement; green, relaxation rate induced by a short range magnetic field described by Eq. (4) for $g_s^N g_p^N = 7 \times 10^{-18}$ and $\lambda = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ m.

From the expected Γ_1, one can extract the expected exclusion plot of the coupling constants product $g_s^N g_p^N$ of this new interaction which is shown on Fig. 6. We expect an improvement of a factor 10 compared with the previous experiment.



Figure 6. Constraints on the coupling constant product of axion-like particles to nucleons $g_s^N g_p^N$ as a function of the range λ of the macroscopic interaction. Yellow, combination of results from UCN precession and depolarization [13, 14]; red, from UCN gravitational levels [15]; bold black line, from ^{3}He T_1 measurement [6]; blue, ^{3}He T_2 reexamination [6]; dashed line, expected constraint from upgraded setup (present work).

* guigue@lpsc.in2p3.fr

[1] B. A. Dobrescu and I. Mocioiu, Journal of High Energy Physics 2006, 005 (2006).
[2] J. E. Moody and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D 30, 130 (1984).
[3] K. H. H. Andersen, R. Cubitt, H. Humblot, D. Jullien, A. Petoukhov, F. Tasset, C. Schanzer, V. R. R. Shah, and A. R. R. Wildes, Phys. B Condens. Matter 385-386, 1134 (2006).
[4] D. Rohe, The European Physical Journal A 28, 29 (2006).
[5] E. Wilms, M. Ebert, W. Heil, and R. Surkau, Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A Accel. Spectrometers, Detect. Assoc. Equip. 401, 491 (1997).
[6] A. K. Petukhov, G. Pignol, D. Jullien, and K. H. Andersen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 170401 (2010).
[7] C. B. Fu, T. R. Gentile, and W. M. Snow, Phys. Rev. D 83, 031504 (2011).
[8] C. Fu and W. M. Snow, arXiv:1103.0659 (2011).
[9] M. Bulatowicz, R. Griffith, M. Larsen, J. Mirijanian, T. G. Walker, C. B. Fu, E. Smith, W. M. Snow, and H. Yan, arXiv:1301.5224.
[10] K. Tullney, F. Allmendinger, M. Burghoff, W. Heil, S. Karpuk, W. Kilian, S. Knappe-Grüneberg, W. Müller, U. Schmidt, A. Schnabel, F. Seifert, Y. Sobolev, and L. Trahms, arXiv:1303.6612 (2013).
[11] K. Safiullin, C. Talbot, and P.-J. Nacher, J. Magn. Reson. 227, 72 (2013).
[12] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, S. Haroche, and F. Laloë, Rev. Phys. Appliquée 5, 102 (1970).
[13] A. P. Serebrov, Physics Letters B 680, 423 (2009).
[14] A. P. Serebrov *et al.*, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Tero. Fiz. 91, 8 (2010).
[15] T. Jenke, G. Cronenberg, P. Geltenbort, A. N. Ivanov, T. Lauer, T. Lins, U. Schmidt, H. Saul, and H. Abele, arXiv:1208.3875 (2012).